

- [1] Civil & Human Rights
 - [2] Commentary •

on أحد, 03/03/2013 - 19:31 Anonymous , submitted by Stephen Lendman By

Eroding Free Expression in Israel

by Stephen Lendman

Israel targets anti-war dissent. Demonstrators are beaten and arrested. So are journalists and activists. Arabs are vulnerable. So are Jews.

Military solutions are prioritized. Opposition is called endangering national security. Saying so bears no relation to reality. Israel claims might justifies right. Police states operate that way.

(ACRI) said so. Its new report [3] <u>Association for Civil Rights in Israel</u> Courts also silence criticism. The is titled "The Silencer: Libel Litigation as a Threat to Free Speech."

Israel targets social, political, and environmental activists. Bloggers and social network users are endangered. Politicians, businessmen, and other influential figures don't hesitate to sue.

Whether defamation occurred isn't important, said ACRI. Nor is compensation sought. At issue is silencing criticism. ACRI's report explains. Its effect on free expression is chilling.

In the 1970s and 80s, these type suits emerged in America. They followed years of social activism.

They're called SLAPPs (Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation).

Plaintiffs use them to silence, intimidate or punish. They're used against outspoken individuals or groups. They target public activism.

Published on indymedia.org.il (https://indymedia.org.il)



Nine US states ban them. How effectively is at issue. Clever lawyers circumvent legal provisions.

They find ways to do so.

In the past decade, SLAPPs became more common in Israel. ACRI received numerous complaints.

Legal defenses are costly and time consuming.

SLAPPs are a strategic tool. They're used against employees "struggling against exploitation and deprivation, demanding their rights, or attempting to organize a union."

Enormous disparities exist between employers and employees. It's no fair fight. Plaintiffs can bankrupt defendants in the process.

Activists, likeminded organizations and academics, alternative media, and independent local politicians are vulnerable. So are consumers criticizing faulty products. Quashing their efforts is prioritized.

Although many SLAPPs end inconclusively, they chill free expression. Defendants need costly legal representation. Requiring it gets some to withdraw.

Some victories end up symbolic. Time and expense go for naught.

Two types of SLAPPs exist:

(1) Public interest

Some lawsuits follow participation in public discourse. Generally it reflects something of common interest. It may be worker rights, pollution, taking over public lands, or faulty products.

(2) Chilling effect: intimidation

SLAPPs by their nature are chilling. No one wants to be sued. Plaintiffs consider "the likely damage to the public's willingness to participate in public discourse whether the plaintiff's intentions are malicious or pure."

Most SLAPPs reflect other characteristics:

Eroding Free Expression in Israel Published on indymedia.org.il (https://indymedia.org.il)



According to ACRI attorney Avner Pinchuk:

ed. ce.
ney ed.
s to ed.

Published on indymedia.org.il (https://indymedia.org.il)



libel claims with a weak or even outlandish basis, yet which are an effective "(W)e are witnessing silencing measure against social and environmental activists who speak on matters of public importance."

"Though the court seeks in the course of the trial to maintain a balance between freedom of expression and the right to maintain one's good name, it fails to see the big picture."

Defense attorney Ishay Shneydor added:

"(S)uing for libel, or writing a letter threatening such a suit, is an inexpensive procedure through which powerful forces succeed in deterring civic participation, placing their critics in a defensive position and preventing or dampening public dialogue on issues of importance."

"If we don't recognize this phenomenon and develop tools to deal with it, then we are abandoning the public and strengthening those who have the power to silence their opponents."

addressed the issue. Its editorial headlined "Speak out against silencing." [4] Haaretz

It discussed a disturbing reality. Growing numbers of people fear "participat(ing) in public discourse due to fear of being sued and becoming embroiled in lengthy, expensive legal proceedings."

"This is a serious blow to the already fragile fabric of democratic life in Israel." Many examples reflect what's ongoing. Mayors sue opposition councillors.

Social and environmental organizations are threatened. Consumers fear complaining about faulty products.

Solutions require raising awareness. Protective laws need enacting. Israeli ones "merely bolster the deterrent power of" SLAPPs.

Restoring free expression needs to be prioritized. It's too important to lose. It's eroding because powerful interests target it.

Israel's most extremist government in history won't help. People are on their own to demand better.

It's their struggle to win or lose.

Published on indymedia.org.il (https://indymedia.org.il)



Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago and can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net.

His new book is titled "Banker Occupation: Waging Financial War on Humanity."

http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanII.html

Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com and listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network Thursdays at 10AM US Central time and Saturdays and Sundays at noon. All programs are archived for easy listening.

http://www.progressiveradionetwork.com/the-progressive-news-hour

):10/09/2014 - 09:49 Source URL (retrieved on

https://indymedia.org.il/ar/article/2013/3/eroding-free-expression-in-israel

Links

[1] http://indymedia.org.il/ar/category/category/civil-human-rights [2] http://indymedia.org.il/ar/commentary [3] http://www.acri.org.il/en/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/The-Silencer-SLAPP-lawsuits-FINAL.pdf [4] http://www.haaretz.com/opinion/speak-out-against-silencing-1.505815